1 Genevieve	Hall ((Estate))
-------------	--------	----------	---

Case No. 07CEPR00471

Atty Baldwin, Kenneth A. (for Jeannie Barry-Sanders)

Atty Knudson, David N. (for Jennifer Hall – objector/Former Administrator)

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator – Successor Administrator/Petitioner)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Successor Administrator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary Commissions and Fees and (3) for Distribution

DOE	D: 11/23/06	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR, Successor Administrator,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		is Petitioner.	
		Account period: 04/03/09 – 06/10/11	
		Accounting - \$272,500.00 Beginning POH - \$247,500.00	
Con	t. from	Beginning POH - \$247,500.00 Ending POH - \$58,334.12	
√	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Administrator - \$3,884.00 (statutory)	
	Inventory		
├	PTC	Administrator x/o fees - \$1,248.00 (for sale of real property and preparation of tax returns)	
✓ I	Not.Cred.		
√	Notice of	Attorney - \$3,884.00 (statutory, to be split \$1,942.00 to County Counsel and \$1,942.00 to	
	Hrg	David N. Knudson)	
✓	Aff.Mail w		
	Aff.Pub.	Counsel for surcharge action against former administrator)	
<u> </u>	Sp.Ntc.	Attorney x/o fees - \$8,761.75 (David	
	Pers.Serv.	Knudson – counsel for former Administrator, itemized for representation in Will Contest, defense against Surcharge	
	Conf. Screen	action, resolution of Code Enforcement issues regarding	
╟─┼	Letters 04/23/0		
╟┼	Duties/Supp	Costs - \$4,900.64 (\$3,302.02 to David Knudson for repayment of costs advanced, and	
╟┼	Objections Video	funds advanced to heirs; \$1,298.62 to Lester Hall for	
	Receipt	payment of property taxes; and \$300.00 to Jennifer Hall for paralegal fees)	
	CI Report	Costs - \$441.50 (to County	
✓	9202	Counsel for filing fees and certified copies fees)	
✓	Order	Bond fee - \$1,362.50 (ok)	
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	Closing - \$1,000.00	Reviewed on: 02/08/12
	UCCJEA Citation	Petitioner states that a creditor's claim by the County of	Updates: Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Fresno in the amount of \$56,393.61 and a creditor's claim by Capital One in the amount of \$960.12 were filed in this	File 1 - Hall
		matter and not acted upon by the former Administrator.	1 11011
		Petitioner states that they have filed allowances of both of	
		these claims in their entireties; however, the estate is not sufficient to pay either claim in full. The County's claim	
		is 98% of the total and Capital One is 2%. Petitioner	
		proposes to distribute the remaining cash in the estate	
		after payment of approved fees and costs in these percentages to these creditors.	
		Continued on page 2	
		1 0	

1 Genevieve Hall (Estate)

Case No. 07CEPR00471

Page 2

Petitioner requests authority to make payment of the creditor's claims with the remaining estate after the payment of approved fees and costs as follows:

County of Fresno - \$30,504.20 Capital One - \$622.53

After payment of fees and costs of administration and only partial payment of the creditor's claims, there will be no estate to distribute to the decedent's heirs.

Objection to First and Final Account of Successor Administrator and Petition for Apportionment of Statutory Compensation, Commissions, Etc. filed by Former Administrator, Jennifer Hall, on 02/01/12 states:

- 1) After a contest, Ms. Hall was appointed personal representative on September 4, 2007. Bond was posted and Letters were issued to Ms. Hall on September 21, 2007.
- 2) On April 2, 2009, Ms. Hall was removed as Administrator and the Public Administrator was appointed as successor personal representative and continues to act as the personal representative.
- 3) On July 8, 2010, Ms. Hall filed her First Account and Status Report of the Estate and Petition for Determination of Entitlement to Estate Distribution. Subsequently, the Public Administrator brought a Petition for Surcharge against Ms. Hall for breach of fiduciary duty.
- 4) On December 1, 2010, an order settling Ms. Hall's First and Final Account as Administrator was entered, but ruling on the Public Administrator's Petition for Surcharge was reserved.
- 5) On February 25, 2011, the parties reached a settlement of the surcharge action, agreeing to a surcharge of \$25,000.00 against Ms. Hall. Western Surety, who issued the bond, deposited the full \$25,000.00 into the estate in full satisfaction of the surcharged amount and the Public Administrator dismissed the Petition for Surcharge, with prejudice.
- 6) Ms. Hall objects to the Public Administrator's proposed non-allocation of any portion of the statutory fee to her as the former Administrator. She served as Administrator for two of the four years of administration of the estate. Petitioner states that "although the former administrator, Ms. Hall Hall, has requested payment of part of the statutory fee, Petitioner does not include that request because she was surcharged \$25,000.00".
- 7) Ms. Hall states that the Petition fails to state, however, that the amount of the surcharge has been paid in full, thus the estate has been reimbursed the full amount of the surcharge. Further, Ms. Hall states that the Petitioner does not present any authority that bars payment to a surcharged administrator when the surcharge has been paid.
- 8) Ms. Hall states that Probate Code § 10805 provides that if there are 2 or more personal representatives, the personal representative's compensation shall be apportioned among the personal representatives by the Court according to the services actually rendered by each personal representative or as agreed to by the personal representatives. Nothing in the code gives discretion or authority to deny an allocation, particularly where, as here, the estate has been made whole by payment of the full amount of the stipulated surcharge.
- 9) Ms. Hall states that she served as administrator for approximately 1.5 years and during that time she rendered services in the administration of the estate concerning the management of the estate properties and their care and maintenance. Such services could possibly even have compensated as extraordinary compensation, by Ms. Hall has not made a request for such extraordinary compensation.
- 10) In contrast, the Petitioner took action to sell the estate's properties and ended up determining that ½ interest in one of the properties went to Robert Davis, although, Ms. Hall contends that this property was provided by the decedent and title was taken in two names.

1 Genevieve Hall (Estate) Page 3

- 11) Petitioner is seeking extraordinary compensation of \$1,000.00 for the sale of the estate's real property and was compensated for handling the summary administration of the estate or Robert Davis, which involved property in which this estate had an interest. Therefore, Ms. Hall asserts, that there is no basis to apportion the statutory fee entirely to one personal representative to the exclusion of the other.
- 12) Ms. Hall states that the continued prolongation of the estate administration was due to settling the surcharge action brought by the Public Administrator; all other administrative acts have long been completed. No information has been presented by the Petitioner as to the amount of services rendered by them nor are there any other factors set forth that support an award of the full statutory compensation solely to one of the personal representatives.
- 13) Accordingly, Ms. Hall requests that she be allowed a portion of the statutory compensation. As agreed by the counsel for the Public Administrator and counsel for Ms. Hall with respect to the statutory attorney's fees, Ms. Hall requests that she be allocated no less than 50% of the statutory compensation for services rendered as Administrator.

Declaration of Jennifer Hall in Support of Objection to First and Final Account of Successor Administrator filed 02/01/12 states:

- 1) The decedent died on 11/23/06. From the time of her death, Ms. Hall actively embarked on doing what needed to be done in handling the decedent's estate. It became clear that a probate action was necessary and Ms. Hall sought the assistance of a paralegal and filed a Petition for probate. Ms. Hall states that she also engaged the Probate Referee and obtained an Inventory & Appraisal.
- 2) A contest to the appointment of Ms. Hall was filed by Ms. Barry-Sanders on behalf of her brother, Ben Johnson, who was married to the decedent for a short time before her death.
- 3) Even though she initially petitioned to be appointed as Administration in May 2007, she was not appointed until September 2007.
- 4) The decedent had 17 children and there were 18 living beneficiaries of the estate, since one of her children was deceased leaving two children. Ms. Hall states that all of her siblings agreed that she should be the administrator of the estate and that they had no knowledge of the claim that Ben Johnson might have in their mother's estate since their mother's property was acquired long before her marriage to Ben Johnson and Mr. Johnson appeared to acknowledge that fact and left the decedent's residence shortly after her death.
- 5) The decedent had two houses. Upon being appointed Administrator, Ms. Hall began working on maintaining the houses. Over the years, the homes had become a place for family members to live. The properties were in disrepair and were in violation of City of Fresno Code Enforcement Division for weeds, overhanging branches and similar violations.
- 6) Ms. Hall states that she worked on the properties, cleaning them up and arranged for the necessary tree trimming and brush removal. Further, she states that she maintained the insurance on the homes.
- 7) It became clear that other family members were not willing to help in maintenance of the properties and to the extent possible, Ms. Hall continued to oversee the properties to ensure that they were secure. The properties were dilapidated and would need extensive repairs to make them habitable.
- 8) Through her attorney, Ms. Hall filed a Petition to Determine Entitlement to Distribution and hired a qualified document examiner to examine the signature on the purported will of Ben Johnson, it was determined that the signature was invalid.
- 9) Ms. Hall states that she attended various hearings while she was the administrator and estimates that she spent between 180 to 250 hours on estate matters.
- 10) Ms. Hall further states that the surcharge against her has been paid in full, and she does not believe that the fact that a surcharge was entered against her constitutes sufficient reason to deny allocation of aportion of the statutory compensation to her for services rendered as administrator.

Atty Kruthers, Heather H (for Petitioner/Administrator Public Administrator)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary Commissions and Fees (Prob. C. 9202, 10800, 10810, 10951, 11600)

DO	D: 10/11/08		PUBLIC ADMIN	ISTRAT	OR,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Administrator with Will Annexed, is						
		petitioner.				
Cor	nt. from 011812	2	Account period: 2	2/17/09 - 6	5/30/11	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	_	Accounting		\$139,225.00	
/	Verified		Beginning POH	-	\$129,635.00	
—			Beginning POH Ending POH	_	\$ 6,422.40	
✓	Inventory				,	
1	PTC		Administrator	-	\$5,041.00	
1	Not.Cred.		(statutory) Administrator X/C) -	\$1,127.85	
/	Notice of		(per Local Rule fo	r sale of p	ersonal	
Ľ	Hrg		property and prepa	aration of	tax returns)	
✓	Aff.Mail		Attorney	-	\$5,041.00	
	Aff.Pub.		(statutory)			
	Sp.Ntc.		C C		415 50	
	Pers.Serv.		Court fees (certified copies)	-	\$15.50	
	Conf. Screen		(ceruned copies)			
✓	Letters	2/18/09	Bond Fee	-	\$812.28	
	Duties/Supp		(o.k.)			
	Objections		Closing	_	\$1,000.00	
	Video		Closing		Ψ1,000.00	
	Receipt		Petitioner states t	that the est	tate is	
	CI Report		insolvent. After p			
✓	9202		commissions there	e will be n		
✓	Order		pay creditors or he	eirs.		
	Aff. Posting					Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 2/7/12
	UCCJEA					Updates:
	Citation					Recommendation:
✓	FTB Notice					File 2 - Coker

- Atty LeVan, Nancy J., sole practitioner (for Katrina Leal, daughter, and Jason Hermosillo, son)
- Atty Magness, Marcus; Goodwin, Tracie, of Gilmore Wood Vinnard & Magness (for Arthur Hermosillo,

proposed Conservatee, and for Jessica Hermosillo, daughter)

Atty Kruthers, Heather H., of County Counsel (for Public Guardian, Temporary Conservator)

Petition to Compel Formal Accounting from Jessica Hermosillo, Attorney-in-Fact, and Declaring Fiduciary has Violated or is Unfit to Perform the Fiduciary Duties Under Power of Attorney; Revocation of Current Power of Attorney Because of Incapacity of Principal and Invalid Notarization and for Attorney Fees and Costs (Prob. C. 4541, 4545)

Age	e: 53 years		KATRINA LEAL and JASON HERMOSILLO, children, are	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DO	B: 1/1/1958		Petitioners.	
			Th. 4'4'	Page 3B is Jessica
			Petitioners state: • ARTHUR HERMOSILLO, Principal under a <i>General</i>	Hermosillo's Motion to Strike Portions of Petition to
Co	nt. from 110711	L ,	Durable Power of Attorney for Healthcare and Finance	Compel.
	2911, 013012		executed 1/4/2011 naming his daughter, JESSICA	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		HERMOSILLO , as attorney-in-fact, is currently separated	Continued from 1/30/2012.
√	Verified		from his wife, SANDIE HERMOSILLO , and he resides	Minute Order states Ms.
	Inventory		with Jessica after filing for divorce based upon lies by	Goodwin requests that the issue of the accounting be
-	PTC		Jessica alleging Sandie was attempting to steal all of the community property for herself;	bifurcated and heard on
	Not.Cred.		 Arthur executed a previous <i>Durable Power of Attorney</i> on 	2/16/2012. The Court grants
	Notice of		8/6/2006, after he and Sandie's separation in the summer of	the request.
	Hrg		2005, which named Sandie as attorney-in-fact, giving	1 N.J.
-	Aff.Mail	W/	Sandie full power to receive, disburse, manage and control	1. Need proposed order.
	Aff.Pub.	**/	all of Arthur's property; neither Sandie's nor Jessica's Power of Attorney eliminate Petitioners' rights to bring this	
	Sp.Ntc.		Petition;	
-	Pers.Serv.		• At the advice of an attorney and for the benefit of Arthur's	
			and Sandie's children, Sandie as Trustee transferred all	
	Conf. Screen		community property into the HERMOSILLO FAMILY	
—	Letters		TRUST , naming all three children as beneficiaries, to avoid probate and because of the circumstances of Arthur's	
	Duties/Supp		erratic behavior;	
	Objections		• Following Arthur's strokes in 2006 and 2007, Sandie	
	Video		moved into Arthur's residence and took care of Arthur and	
	Receipt		his finances as agreed upon by him; Sandie hired a	
	CI Report		caregiver from 8 am to 5 pm six days a week while Sandie handled all financial matters;	
	9202		 At a family meeting it was agreed that Jessica would take 	
	Order	Χ	Arthur into her home to care for him and she would be paid	
	Aff. Posting		\$350.00 per month for rent, which was raised to \$600.00	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt		upon Jessica's request and family agreement; Jessica	Reviewed on: 2/7/12
	UCCJEA		continued to ask for more money to care for Arthur and to pay for her \$6,000.00 school loan;	Updates:
	Citation		 Jessica began to have financial problems in October 2010, 	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		which coincides with her refusal to bring Arthur to family	File 3A - Hermosillo
			functions and her isolation of him from the family;	
			~Please see additional page~	

3A

First Additional Page 3A, Arthur Hermosillo (CONS/PE) Case No. 11CEPR00214

Petitioners state, continued:

- In December 2010, Jessica demanded more money for the care of Arthur, and following Sandie's refusal Jessica began acting strangely by sending the care provider away from the home and leaving the house when the caretaker or family members would visit Arthur;
- Arthur was diagnosed with a brain bleed on 1/7/2011 following an MRI and was immediately taken to the emergency room;
 Sandie brought her Power of Attorney for Health Care but could not get any information because Arthur signed a Revocation of Power of Attorney and a new Power of Attorney for Health Care and Finance naming Jessica as agent; Arthur signed these documents while suffering a brain bleed and he was not competent to sign any legal document;
- On 1/28/2011, Jessica took Arthur to Wells Fargo Bank and withdrew \$82,000.00 from a home line of equity which was tied to a joint account belonging to Arthur and Sandie; Jessica had no authority to do this from Sandie nor justification for her actions; Jessica stated she and Arthur were going to make payments but not payments have been made and Arthur only gets \$1,205.00 from Social Security, and Jessica makes a \$1,200.00 per month withdrawal, leaving nothing left to make a payment on the home equity line of credit;
- Per the 3/29/2011 Court order, Attorney Marcus Magness provided Petitioners with a list of deposits and withdrawals Jessica made from Arthur's account; however, the list did not include reasons for hundreds of dollars in withdrawals and does not address what happened to the \$3,000.00 cash given to Jessica when she took Arthur to Wells Fargo;
- Petitioners request the Jessica provide a full accounting pursuant to Probate Code §§ 4540 and 4541(c), following the form of accountings in estate and conservatorship matters; the receipts provided along with the informal accounting does not total the amounts withdrawn or spent;
- Petitioners also request the revocation of the Durable Power of Attorney naming Jessica as agent under Probate Code § 4541(d)(1), as the notary used for both the revocation and the power of attorney is **ELIZABETH ROUSE**, who was hired as Arthur's caregiver on 3/25/2011 and is also Jessica's landlord, and would have a financial interest in the document she notarized; Government Code § 8224 provides "a notary public who has a direct financial or beneficial interest in a transaction shall not perform any notarial act in connection with such transaction" and the documents allow Ms. Rouse beneficial interests for payment of rent and payment as caregiver;
- Jessica has violated her fiduciary duty to Arthur by obtaining a loan without a plan to repay, spending the money for her own benefit, attempting to justify fees paid to caregivers by preparing and submitting false receipts, and used her friendship with Ms. Rouse to have documents notarized that put Jessica in charge of Arthur's finances;
- Jessica is unfit to fulfill he office of power of attorney because she currently has three pending judgments against her for breach of contract for failure to pay attorney fees in other matters; she has been reported to the Welfare Fraud Division for falsely receiving benefits for her children that do not reside full time with Jessica and she has fraudulently received over \$4,000.00 from welfare; Jessica and her husband Joe previously took out a \$30,000.00 line of credit on a house purchased by Arthur and Sandie, which was taken in 2002 and Sandie did not learn about until 2003;
- Arthur did not have capacity when he executed the Revocation of Power of Attorney and signed the new Power of Attorney naming Jessica, and under Probate Code § 4541(d)(2), the Court can make this determination.

Petitioners pray that the Court order:

- 1. Jessica Hermosillo must provide a complete accounting from the period when she was attorney-in-fact from 1/4/2010 until 6/27/2011;
- 2. The current Durable Power of Attorney naming Jessica Hermosillo as attorney-in-fact is void because of the lack of capacity of Arthur to sign legal documents on the day they were executed and were notarized by a financially interested party; and
- 3. That Jessica Hermosillo breached her fiduciary duty to Arthur and should be held liable for twice the amount of loss the estate has suffered.

~Please see additional page~

Second Additional Page 3A, Arthur Hermosillo (CONS/PE) Case No. 11CEPR00214

Respondent Jessica Hermosillo's Opposition to Petition to Declare Fiduciary Has Violated or is Unfit to Perform Fiduciary Duties under Power of Attorney, Etc. filed on 11/2/2011 states:

- Arthur Hermasillo and Sandie Hermosillo have been separated since the summer of 2005 and have made no attempts to
 reconcile their marriage since that time; Arthur had set up an appointment to initiate divorce proceedings but suffered his first
 stroke before he was able to meet with the attorney, and following his strokes in 2006 he was dependent upon others to
 provide assistance with daily activities; caregivers were hired by Sandie who never actually provided Arthur with any care,
 and Arthur's dependence on others precluded him from filing divorce proceedings as he would not go anywhere without
 Sandie's approval;
- Contrary to Petitioner's allegations that Jessica unduly influence Arthur to file for divorce, in truth Arthur filed for divorce after he discovered that Sandie, without his knowledge, transferred title to two of their rental properties and title to their personal residence into her own name, and from her own name into a revocable trust that she controls under a power of attorney that Arthur purportedly signed in 2006 immediately after his first stroke;
- Sandie purportedly transferred her and Arthur's community property into a revocable trust for the benefit of Arthur and their children; however, Arthur is not a beneficiary of the trust, and if Sandie were to die before Arthur, he would be almost penniless and dependent upon the mercy of his children;
- Sandie has taken complete control of Arthur's assets and the only person Sandie intended to benefit from transferring Arthur's assets into the trust was herself; had Arthur not discovered Sandie's action, she would have taken all of his property;
- Even if the 2006 Durable Power of attorney was valid, which is questionable, this document did not authorize Sandie to transfer Arthur's assets to a trust without his knowledge or consent; Sandie's conduct was in clear violation of her fiduciary duties to Arthur including her duties of loyalty, to keep him informed, and to hold his property separate and identifiable;
- After Sandie moved back into the couple's residence in 2007, Arthur's living situation became intolerable; he was forced to stand by as Sandie spent their money on lavish vacation while he was left home and brought her boyfriends home to their residence to spend the night;
- In 2010, after Sandie took two month-long vacations and one three-week vacation, Arthur told his family that he did not want to continue living with Sandie, and Jessica offered to have Arthur live with her;
- After Arthur moved in with Jessica, Sandie only gave Jessica \$350 per month for Arthur's food, rent, prescriptions and other expenses, which was Arthur's only money, and even his Social Security check was automatically deposited into a checking account that Sandie controlled; Arthur's expenses averaged at least \$800 per month and the money from Sandie did not even cover half of his expenses; after a family meeting, Sandie and Petitioners agreed Sandie would pay Jessica \$500 per month and the Petitioners would donate \$50 per month, but the \$600 per month still did not cover Arthur's expenses and Jessica continued to spend \$300 of her own money each month to cover the difference;
- Petitioners' allegation that Jessica asked Sandie to pay off her student loan is a complete fabrication; rather, Jessica talked to Sandie about different payment options on student loans since Sandie is a college counselor and has personal experience with student loans;
- Jessica denies that she began having financial problems in October 2010; she has been able to timely pay her bills and financially maintain her household; she has been using her own money to help pay Arthur's monthly expenses;
- Jessica did not refuse to bring Arthur to 2010 Thanksgiving and Christmas celebrations, as Arthur makes his own decisions as to his holidays and he prefers to spend them with his 87-year-old mother and his siblings;
- Petitioner (Katrina) arranged with Arthur on 10/3/11 after the Court hearing to pick up Arthur on 10/7/11 to spend the night with her family, after Arthur packed and was prepared to go on 10/7, Katrina never showed up; Jessica has at no time prevented Arthur from visiting Petitioners, and she has never refused to answer the door nor purposely left the house to avoid their visits; it is Petitioners who have failed to come and visit when they told Arthur they would;
- Jessica requested more money in 12/2010 to assist with Arthur's care; the caregiver Sandie hired was unreliable and would often not show up or would leave early without advance notice, and Jessica had to continually take time off work without advance notice to her employer to stay home and assist Arthur;

~Please see additional page~

Third Additional Page 3A, Arthur Hermosillo (CONS/PE) Case No. 11CEPR00214

Respondent Jessica Hermosillo's Opposition, continued:

- Arthur was diagnosed with a subdural hematoma (brain bleed) <u>before</u> his MRI and was admitted to the hospital as a precautionary measure but was released after two days; Jessica never withheld any information about Arthur's medical condition because neither Sandie, Katrina nor Jason (Petitioner) ever asked her for any such information;
- Petitioners' contention that Arthur revoked Sandie's power of attorney and she could not get medical information at the hospital [on 1/7/2011] is a sham, as Arthur did not revoke it until 2/2011; more important, the purpose of Sandie's visit to the hospital was not to check on Arthur's medical condition but to try to talk the hospital social worker into releasing Arthur into her car without Arthur or Jessica's knowledge; Arthur became extremely upset upon learning that he was going to be released into Sandie's care, and the social worker found Arthur was able to make his own decision on where he wanted to go upon release; Arthur was adamant he did not want to go with Sandie and wanted to go home with Jessica;
- It is not so, as Petitioners contend, that Arthur was not competent when he signed the 1/4/2011 Durable Power of Attorney naming Jessica as his attorney in fact because he was suffering a brain bleed, as the subdural hematoma/brain bleed had no effect on Arthur's cognitive abilities and Petitioners have produced no evidence to the contrary; Arthur was fully able to communicate and understand what he was signing and the consequences of signing it; even so, this contention is moot as Jessica never exercised her powers under that document and it has since been revoked;
- It is incorrect, as Petitioners content, that Jessica was responsible for the \$82,000 line of credit on Arthur's account, as Arthur is co-owner of the account and signed and submitted the application on his own volition and the bank accepted it; there was no need for Jessica to exercise her powers under the Durable Power of Attorney; Arthur was fully justified in taking out the line of credit because Sandie has been keeping all of this money and property from him for years; until 1/2011 Sandie was keeping Arthur's \$1,205 monthly Social Security check from him, and until 7/2011 Sandie was also keeping Arthur's pension benefits for herself after she filed an application with the City of Azusa for his pension benefits, without Arthur's knowledge, and Arthur became aware only after the Public Guardian discovered they were being deposited into an account over which Sandie had control; because Sandie refuses to turn over the joint bank account statements to Arthur's divorce attorney and the Public Guardian, it is not clear how long the City of Azusa has been paying these benefits but it is clear Sandie received and kept them from at least 1/2011 through 6/2011; ultimately, the responsibility for the \$82,000 debt will be decided in Arthur's divorce proceeding; the \$3,000 retained from the \$82,000 line of credit was used to partially reimburse Jessica for the expenses she paid on behalf of Arthur from 3/2010 through 12/2010;
- Jessica's previous drug addiction has no bearing on her ability to serve as Arthur's attorney-in-fact, but to clarify the situation, she went through a painful divorce and found herself involved in the wrong crown and became addicted to methamphetamine; in 12/2005 Jessica admitted herself to a rehabilitation facility and she has been drug-free since then;
- As Arthur's temporary conservator, the Public Guardian has standing to seek the Court's authorization to revoke the 3/25/2011 Durable Power of Attorney but it has not done so, and there is no reason to do so because it has found no wrongdoing by Jessica;
- The true purpose behind these proceedings is to stop Arthur from going through his divorce so Sandie can retain control over his money and property; Jessica alleges that Petitioners are using Arthur's own funds to pursue this proceeding and the related conservatorship action filed against Arthur; Sandie is paying the attorney fees and costs and is using community property funds to do so, and as a result Arthur is entitled to a surcharge against Petitioners; this proceeding was brought by Petitioners without reasonable cause and Jessica is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Probate Code §§ 1002 and 4545 (a).

- Atty LeVan, Nancy J., sole practitioner (for Katrina Leal, daughter, and Jason Hermosillo, son)
- Atty Magness, Marcus; Goodwin, Tracie, of Gilmore Wood Vinnard & Magness (for Arthur Hermosillo, proposed Conservatee, and for Jessica Hermosillo, daughter)
- Atty Kruthers, Heather H., of County Counsel (for Public Guardian, Temporary Conservator)

Respondent Jessica Hermosillo's Notice of and Motion to Strike Portions of Petition to Compel Formal Accounting, etc.; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof

Age	: 53 years		
DO	DOB: 1/1/1958		
Cor	nt. from 11071:	1,	
112	2911, 013012		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of		
	Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video		
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
√	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

3B

JESSICA HERMOSILLO (Respondent) moves the Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 436 for an order granting her *Motion to Strike* the following portions from the *Petition to Compel Formal Accounting from Jessica Hermosillo*:

- Portion of *Petition* requesting that Jessica provide a full accounting pursuant to Probate Code § 4540 and 4541(c) following the format of accountings required in estate and conservatorship matters; and
- Portion of *Petition* requesting a complete accounting from Jessica for the period she was attorney-in-fact for Arthur from 1/4/2010 until 6/27/2010.

Movant states Petitioners are not entitled to receive a formal accounting from Respondent for the following reasons:

- 1. Petitioners have failed to comply with Probate Code § 4541(c) as they have not and cannot allege that they made a written request to Respondent to submit an accounting and that the Respondent failed to comply with such written request within 60 days from date of the request;
- 2. There has been no finding by the Court that Petitioners are parties entitled to a formal accounting; even assuming Petitioners have standing to bring this Petition, the only party who is entitled to a formal accounting is the Public Guardian, Arthur's Temporary Conservator;
- 3. Petitioners have failed to show good cause for this Petition, as Respondent has submitted two timely informal accountings to the Public Guardian, who has found them satisfactory; as such, Petitioners' request is not reasonably necessary for the protection of Arthur or his estate.

This *Motion* is based on the *Notice of Motion*, the *Memorandum of Points and Authorities*, the *Declaration of Marcus D. Magness*, all of the records, pleadings, and papers on file with the Court, and upon such further oral and documentary evidence which may be presented at the hearing.

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 1/30/2012. Minute Order states Ms. Goodwin requests that the issue of the accounting be bifurcated and heard on 2/16/2012. The Court grants the request.

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 2/7/12

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 3B - Hermosillo

3B

Additional Page 3B, Arthur Hermosillo (CONS/PE) Case No. 11CEPR00214

Memorandum of Points and Authorities [citations omitted] attached to the Motion to Strike states:

- Petitioners are requesting the Court appoint them as conservators of Arthur Hermosillo's person and estate [in Case No. 11CEPR00214], and the evidence demonstrates that the purpose behind these related proceedings is not to protect Arthur or his estate, but is instead a blatant attempt to prevent Arthur from divorcing Sandie Hermosillo and retaining control of his ½ of the community property assets;
- Since Petitioners have so far been unsuccessful in being appointed conservators, they are now resorting to vicious and unfounded personal attacks against Respondent to challenge the Durable Power of Attorney naming her as Arthur's attorney-in-fact;
- Petitioners' request for an accounting is simply a ruse to diver the Court's attention from the fact that Sandie Hermosillo is continuing to steal Arthur's ½ of the community property assets, consisting of 18 residential properties earning ~\$20,000.00 in rental income each month, while Arthur is forced to live on his \$1,205.00 monthly Social Security check and Respondent's financial assistance;
- Petitioners did not file any objections to Respondent's two informal accountings before they filed the instant *Petition*, and they never asked for additional information or explanation; Petitioners filed an *Objection to Accounting* on 9/26/2011 in the conservatorship proceedings 5 months after the informal accountings were voluntarily provided;
- The Public Guardian has requested and been provided with all backup documents and explanation, and Public Guardian submitted to this Court under penalty of perjury that it found no wrongdoing;
- Petitioners' request for an accounting fails to comply with the requirements of Probate Code § 4541(c) and was brought in bad faith, and as such, the request to compel a formal accounting should be stricken from the *Petition*;
- Respondent is entitled to her attorney's fees incurred in filing this *Motion* since the *Petition* was filed without any reasonable cause.

Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Respondent Jessica Hermosillo's Motion to Strike Portions of Petition to Compel Formal Accounting, etc., filed on 10/13/2011 requests the Court take judicial notice pursuant to Evidence Code § 452 of the following document:

• Declaration of Deputy Public Guardian Renee Garcia-Widjaja Regarding Conservatee's Finances [filed on 8/11/2011] (copy attached as Exhibit A).

Felger, Warren P. (for Toni Richardson – Daughter – Petitioner)
Second Amended Petition for Letters of Administration

		Jecona Amenaea Fertion for Letters of	
DO	D: 6-2-11	TONI RICHARDSON, Daughter, is Petitioner	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		and requests appointment as Administrator without bond. IAEA: Not requested	Note: This Petitioner previously requested to admit a will to Probate; however, Examiner noted that the signature on the will was not original and the Court requested clarification.
>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Decedent died intestate.	In this Second Amended Petition, Petitioner (now represented by counsel) states that the decedent died <u>intestate</u> and filed a supporting
	PTC Not.Cred.	Residence: Fresno Publication: Fresno Business Journal	declaration stating that the will was a forgery; that she signed the will; that she knew it was wrong; she was influenced and intimidated by
~	Notice of Hrg	Estimated value of estate: Personal property: \$2,000.00	her brother, who "has been convicted of several crimes, some felonies." Petitioner states she is sorry for signing the will,
~	Aff.Mail	Real property: \$31,000.00	offers her deepest apologies, and prepared this
~	Aff.Pub.	(\$78,000.00 less encumbrance \$47,000.00)	declaration at the recommendation of her
	Sp.Ntc.	Total: \$33,000.00	attorney. See Declaration filed 1-17-12.
	Pers.Serv.		1. The Petition does not request any authority
	Conf. Screen	Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	under IAEA (No box is checked at #2.c.); however, the submitted order indicates
>	Letters		<u>Limited</u> authority. Need clarification.
~	Duties/Supp		Examiner notes that the published notice and
	Objections		the notice to the heirs do both include
	Video		reference to authority under IAEA.
	Receipt	=	2. The Court may require bond pursuant to
	CI Report	=	Probate Code §8480.
	9202 Order	=	
Ě	Aff. Posting	4	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	4	Reviewed on: 2-8-12
-	UCCJEA	=	Updates:
	Citation	=	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	<u> </u>	File 4 - Bonham
*	•		

Mele, James J. (for Petitioner James Mele)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 11/24/11	JAMES MELE, named executor without	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	bond, is petitioner.	
	1	
	Full IAEA – o.k.	
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Will dated: 6/17/2005	
✓ Verified		
Inventory	Residence: Fresno	
PTC	Publication: Fresno Business Journal	
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
✓ Aff.Mail w/o	Estimated Value of the Estate: Real property - \$18,000.00	
√ Aff.Pub.	Real property - \$10,000.00	
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.	Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	
Conf. Screen	Fronate Referee: STEVEN DIEDERT	
✓ Letters		
✓ Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202] -	
√ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 2/8/12
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation: SUBMITTED
FTB Notice		File 5 - Wilkinson

George E. Feist (Estate)
Nahigian, Eliot S. (for Petitioner George Feist)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 12/2/2010	GEORGE B. FEIST, son/named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	executor without bond, is petitioner.	
	, 1	
	Full IAEA – o.k.	
Cont. from		
✓ Aff.Sub.Wit.	Will dated: 9/26/1979	
✓ Verified	Residence: Fresno	
Inventory	Publication: Fresno Business Journal	
PTC	Publication. Flesho business Journal	
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg	Estimated Value of the Estate:	
✓ Aff.Mail W/	Personal property - \$250,000.00	
✓ Aff.Pub.	Income - \$ 4,000.00 Total - \$254,000.00	
Sp.Ntc.	- \$25 - ,000.00	
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
✓ Letters	Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	
✓ Duties/Supp	Trobate Referee. STEVEN DIEDERT	
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
√ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 2/8/12
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation: SUBMITTED
FTB Notice		File 6 - Feist

DOD: 10/10/11	HEATHER B. THOMAS, mother,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	is petitioner.	
	•	
	40 days since DOD.	
Cont. from]	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	No other proceedings.	
✓ Verified	1	
Inventory	Decedent died intestate.	
PTC	7	
Not.Cred.	I & A - \$36,000.00	
✓ Notice of]	
Hrg	Petitioner requests Court	
✓ Aff.Mail W/		
Aff.Pub.	interest in real property located at	
Sp.Ntc.	537 E. Daleville in Fresno, 1994	
Pers.Serv.	Chevrolet Silverado Pickup and	
Conf. Screen	miscellaneous furniture and	
Letters	furnishings pass to her pursuant to	
Duties/Supp	intestate succession.	
Objections		
Video	1	
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
√ Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 2/8/12
UCCJEA		Updates: 2/14/12
Citation	_	Recommendation: SUBMITTED
FTB Notice		File 7 - Thomas

LeVan, Nancy J. (for Pat Miranda, Conservator)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Termination of Proceeding for Deceased Conservatee (Prob. C. § 1860, et seq.)

DOD: 5-5-09		PAT MIRANDA, daughter, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Temporary Conservator of the Person and Estate	
		with bond of \$30,000.00 on 8-22-03. Bond was	Note: On 8-30-11, Attorney LeVan
		filed and Temporary Letters issued on 8-22-03.	requested a 45-day continuance to file
Cont. from 083011,			the accounting and petition for
101911, 010512		Pat Miranda was later appointed general	termination. On 10-19-11, Attorney LeVan requested a 60-day continuance
Aff.Sub.Wit.		Conservator of the Person and Estate with bond	due to medical issues and assured the
Verified		of \$30,000.00 and Letters issued on 9-30-03.	Court that this will be the last
Inventory			continuance requested.
PTC		On 7-15-11, the court set this status hearing for	·
Not.Cred.		termination of conservatorship for deceased	Status report filed 1-4-12 by Attorney
Notice of Hrg		conservatee.	<u>LeVan</u> states that she understands the
Aff.Mail			Court has been very patient regarding
Aff.Pub.		Status Reports filed by Attorney LeVan states	this case; however, she has been
Sp.Ntc.		an account is in process.	unable to complete many projects due to medical issues, and her recovery
Pers.Serv.			has not progressed as quickly as she
Conf. Screen		The report states the Conservator has had	had hoped. She expects to be
Letters		"problems with her husband rifling through all of	incapacitated until the end of January.
Duties/Supp		her paperwork and forging checks to acquire	The report states the estate was
Objections		funds from the Conservator's personal accounts.	drained of any assets at the
Video Receipt		All of this has been occurring since the death of	Conservatee's death, so there is no
CI Report		the Conservatee."	estate that is being held up by this
9202			delay. She deeply regrets and
Order		Attorney and Conservator understand the	apologizes that she could not complete the accounting timely.
		conservatorship has gone on long after the	complete the accounting timely.
		Conservatee's death, but due to serious	Minute Order 1-5-12: Ms. LeVan is
		complications with the Conservator's life, getting	appearing by conference call. Ms.
		the items needed for the accounting was put on	LeVan requests a continuance.
		the "back burner." Right now, Conservator is	
		unemployed and focusing on saving her house from foreclosure.	As of 2-8-12, nothing further has been
		Hom foreclosure.	<u>filed</u> .
		Attorney LeVan requested a 45 day continuance	1. Need final account/petition
		and then a 60-day continuance to file the	for termination.
		account and petition for termination.	
Aff. Posting		account and position for termination	Updates:
Status Rpt	Х	On 1-5-12, Ms. LeVan requested further	Contacts: Reviewed 2-8-12
UCCJEA		continuance and the Court continued the matter	Recommendation:
Citation		to 2-16-12.	Reviewed by: skc
FTB Notice			File 8 - McCaslin
			8

Atty Atty

Atty

- Motsenbocker, Gary L., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Public Guardian, Conservator)
- Knudson, David, sole practitioner (for Respondent Virginia Greggains, daughter)
 - J. Stanley, Teixeira, sole practitioner (Court-appointed for Conservatee)

Status Conference Re: (1) Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty; and (2) for an Accounting and (3) for Conversion of Personal Property and (4) for Elder Abuse and (5) for Damages

Age: 89 years DOB: 8/11/1922 Cont. from 011212 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. **Notice of** Hrg W/ Aff.Mail **Summons** W/ Sp.Ntc. W/ Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters **Duties/Supp Objections** Video Receipt **CI Report** 9202 Order Aff. Posting **Status Rpt UCCJEA** Citation **FTB Notice**

PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator of the Person and Estate, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states:

- The Conservatee was at all relevant times a dependent adult under the care, custody and control of Respondent, VIRGINIA "GINGER" GREGGAINS, daughter;
- The Conservatee was not competent or capable of handling her personal finances or financial affairs and was entirely dependent upon Respondent to do so for her;
- Respondent had a confidential relationship with the Conservatee and her husband, ELMER FLY (DOD 11/8/2008), as their only child;
- Petitioner names DOES 1 through 30 as Respondents and will amend this petition to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained;
- Petitioner alleges Respondent and the fictitiously named Respondents were each responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged herein and the damages proximately caused thereby; each of the Respondents were the agent and/or employee of each of the remaining Respondents and each were acting within the course and scope of such agency;
- Elmer and the Conservatee executed a

DECLARATION OF TRUST, ELMER V. AND JULIA B. FLY, naming Respondent as Successor
Trustee; the Trust was amended several times, the
latest being the *Third Amendment* dated 3/24/2008
(copy of Restated Trust and two subsequent
amendments attached as Exhibits A, A-1 and A-2);
Petitioner has no knowledge if there was an intervening
amendment between the Restatement of Trust dated
9/25/2000 and the Second Amendment dated 2/7/2008;

• Respondent has been the sole Successor Trustee of the Trust since December of 2007 or early 2008, and she acted in several matters on behalf of the Conservatee and Elmer using General Durable Powers of Attorney (copy attached as Exhibit B);

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

OFF CALENDAR

Second Account of Conservator filed on 2/14/2012, set for hearing on 3/27/2012.

Continued from 1/12/2012.

Minute Order states Mr.
Knudson informs the Court that
he has received the bank
statements from Mr.
Motsenbocker. Mr. Knudson
requests a continuance.

Note for background: Minute Order dated 10/12/2011 states that Mr. Knudson informs the Court that the Public Guardian is looking to move Ms. Fly to another facility with lower costs. The Court sets the matter for Status Conference on 1/12/2012. Mr. Knudson is to file his written objections.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 2/7/12
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 9 - Fly

First Additional Page 9 Julia B. Fly (CONS/PE)

Case No. 08CEPR00917

Petitioner states, continued:

- Petitioner possesses certain transactional documents and forms which Respondent signed in her capacity as "power of attorney in fact" in which she indicated she held the power for both Conservatee and Elmer, and these transactional documents conclusively establish that Respondent was acting in a fiduciary capacity for Conservatee;
- Petitioner possesses copies of a number of checks written on an account in the names of Conservatee, Elmer, and Virginia "Ginger" Greggains (Respondent); the transactions in this account are the prime source of a number of questionable expenditures made by Respondent from Consevatee's funds; the address on the checks is the personal residence of Respondent; a number of checks were written, signed and made payable to Respondent as well as to Respondent's husband, **STEPHEN ROY GREGGAINS**, each in the amount of **\$5,000.00**;
- In early 2008, Respondent contacted **SOUTAS & ASSOCIATES**, a firm engaged in Medi-Cal planning services, and in connection with the consultation, Respondent agreed to purchase an annuity on behalf of Conservatee and signed an application for an annuity with OM Financail Life Insurance on 5/29/2009 of **\$159,983.79**;
- On the annuity application, Respondent stated Conservatee held cash and investment accounts valued at \$357,000.00; the application contains handwritten entries detailing \$82,000 in "Investment Experience and Holdings," \$200,000 in "Money Market" accounts, and \$75,000 in "Other Mutual Funds" accounts;
- As of 9/12/2008, the date of PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S appointment as temporary conservator, Respondent surrendered ~\$231,000.00 in accounts, and it appears that \$120,000.00 in cash and investments accounts asserted to have existed by Respondent in May 2008 is missing;
- Respondent should be ordered to account for all of the cash and investment accounts held by Conservatee from 12/1/2007 to the date Respondent surrendered the assets in her possession to the Public Guardian;
- Respondent arranged for the removal and disposition of jewelry, motor vehicles, household furniture and furnishings, and
 several personal property items belonging to the Conservatee contained in her personal residence, in anticipation of the sale of
 the residence by Respondent; Petitioner alleges Respondent personally took and/or made gifts to family members of a number
 of the items from the residence, she sold some of the personal property at several yard sales, and she did not account to the
 principals for any of the proceeds or disposition of the items;
- Petitioner alleges that Respondent made gifts of motor vehicles that belonged to the Conservatee to family members without consideration; she removed and disposed of a number of plants growing on the residential property of Conservatee which are believed at the time to be worth thousands of dollars; she used funds belonging to the Conservatee to purchase and make improvements on her own residence, to make the down payment on a personal vehicle for herself, and to pay off a personal loan that she and her husband owned on a travel trailer;
- The Conservatee's financial status at present is tenuous at best; her annuity payments and monthly income are sufficient to fund her care for ~2 years; Petitioner has been unable to modify the annuity payments from the original terms to allow monthly payments, which combined with her income would sustain payments of \$5,625.00 per month to her residential facility; it is anticipated that additional funds will be necessary to sustain the Conservatee in her present environs.

Causes of Action:

- 1. Breach of Fiduciary Duty: Respondent as Successor Trustee owed a fiduciary duty to the Petitioner and Respondent had a duty to exercise the utmost care, integrity, honesty and loyalty in her dealings with Conservatee's property in her capacity as attorney in fact for Conservatee or in her capacity as trustee of Conservatee's Trust; in breach of her fiduciary duty, Respondent deposited Trust funds into her own personal account; she took Conservatee's funds and used them for her own benefit, she took possession of Conservatee's personal property and the proceeds from sale of such property and converted those funds to her own use; the actions of Respondent accrued to the detriment of the Conservatee; Respondent know or should have known that her acts would accrue to the detriment of the Conservatee and that she did all of these acts in patent "bad faith" with the intent of depriving the Conservatee of her property without good and sufficient consideration and in violation of her duty to the Conservatee;
 - Respondent has not rendered an account of her administration of the personal property and funds of Conservatee as required by law, and has not accounted to Conservatee or her legal representative for her actions as to the Conservatee's assets and their disposition; she has provided inaccurate and incomplete information regarding the assets taken for her benefit; Petitioner requests the Court order Respondent to render a verified detailed account of her handling of the financial and personal affairs of the Conservatee from 12/1/2007 to the present and to serve that account to Petitioner within 90 days of the hearing.

~Please see additional page~

Second Additional Page 9 Julia B. Fly (CONS/PE)

Case No. 08CEPR00917

Causes of Action, continued:

1. Breach of Fiduciary Duty, continued:

- Respondent owed the Conservatee a duty to act in scrupulous good faith and absolute candor; Respondent breached her fiduciary duty to the Conservatee by failing to preserve the Conservatee's property, failing to deal impartially with the Conservatee's assets, failing to administer the affairs in Conservatee's best interest, failing to keep the Conservatee and her representatives reasonably informed, failing to keep Conservatee's property separate from her own property, converting Conservatee's property to her own use and enjoyment, and failing to maintain cash held on behalf of Conservatee in interest bearing accounts; Respondent should be ordered to respond in damages for each and every breach of fiduciary duty, wrongful act and/or both as provided for in the law;
- Respondent's acts in this mater constitute breach of fiduciary duty, as she engaged in self-dealing, she breached her duties of loyalty and impartiality, and all acts of Respondent alleged herein were patently unfair and prejudicial to the interest of the Conservatee and her estate; Respondent failed to observe the directions and intent of the Settlors as expressed in their Trust, and all acts of Respondent in regards to the Trust were done "in bad faith" with intent to deprive Conservatee of property to which she is rightfully entitled and constitute breach of trust; Petitioner alleges Conservatee is entitled to damages with interest as provided in the Code, or in the alternative that Respondent be ordered to pay damages in an amount equal to double the value of all property taken, concealed and/or disposed of by Respondent in bad faith according to proof.
- Petitioner alleges Respondent did all of the acts alleged with the intent to deprive the Conservatee of her property while
 she held a fiduciary relationship with Conservatee, and that any and all actions of Respondent were in violation of her
 fiduciary duty and should be adjudged voided and set aside, and the assets or the value of the assets should be
 ordered returned and any loss incurred should be surcharged against Respondent.
- 2. Conversion: The acts of Respondent as set forth constitute conversion of the Conservatee's property; Respondent without legal claim, privilege or right seized and disposed of the tangible personal property of the Conservatee, the Conservatee and/or her estate sustained damages thereby equal to the value of the property at the time it was converted by Respondent; Respondent should be ordered to respond in damages proximately caused by her actions.
- 3. Abuse of an Elderly Person: For a time period to be proven at trial, but no later than December 2007, the Conservatee was elderly, suffering from diminished mental capacity and was easily subjected to be taken advantage of by designing persons such as Respondents; with knowledge of this, each Respondent schemed to take advantage of the Conservatee and intended to cheat her out of her interest in the property; in furtherance of said scheme, which each Respondent concealed from the Conservatee, each Respondent exercised complete dominion and control over the Conservatee's assets and gained knowledge of her assets and property; the conduct of each Respondent resulted in the deprivation of Conservatee's assets which are necessary for her care and ongoing maintenance;
 - The conduct of each Respondent constitutes financial abuse under Welfare & Inst. Code § 15657 as defined in § 15610.30; each Respondent is guilty of recklessness, oppression, and fraud, and acted with malice against the Conservatee in the commission of the abuse; the conduct of each Respondent was in no way for the benefit of Conservatee and was willful and wanton, and was intended to cause injury to her; the Conservatee is entitled to an award of exemplary or punitive damages;
 - Under Welfare & Inst. Code § 15657(a), each Respondent is liable to the Conservatee for reasonable attorney fees and costs, including reasonable fees for the services of the Public Guardian, as Conservator of her Estate, and their attorney for his services provided to litigate this claim necessitated by conduct of each Respondent.

~Please see additional page~

Third Additional Page 9 Julia B. Fly (CONS/PE)

Case No. 08CEPR00917

Causes of Action, continued:

4. Constructive Trust: Respondent Greggains and the unnamed Respondents each have wrongfully taken, transferred, concealed and otherwise deprived the Conservatee of funds and/or personal property which rightfully belongs to her, and they therefore have become the involuntary trustees of said property for the benefit of the Conservatee; Respondents should be ordered to surrender and deliver said property to the Conservatee and/or the Petitioner, her legal representative.

Petitioner prays the Court Order:

- 1. Respondent must render a detailed and correct account for all property held and administered by her, either as Trustee and/or as agent under her power of attorney within 90 days of the date of the initial hearing, for the period from 12/1/2007 to the date she surrendered the Conservatee's funds and property to the Public Guardian;
- 2. Respondent must respond in damages for all property taken and/or wrongfully appropriated by her, or for funds and/or property that is missing or unaccounted for, together with interest at the legal rate per annum, from the date of the breach of trust and/or fiduciary obligation;
- 3. Respondent must respond in damages together with interest at the legal rate per annum from the date of breach of trust and/or fiduciary obligation;
- 4. Respondent and the unnamed Respondents must respond in exemplary damages for their outrageous, recklessness, oppressive, fraudulent and malicious conduct in this matter;
- 5. In the alternative, Respondents must respond in damages in an amount equal to twice the value of property taken, concealed and/or disposed of by her "in bad faith;"
- 6. Respondent and the unnamed Respondents must respond in actual damages caused to the Conservatee by their conversion of her tangible personal property;
- 7. Respondent and the unnamed Respondents are found guilty of elder abuse and are assessed all the damages afforded the Conservatee under the law, including actual damages, exemplary damages, and attorney fees and costs;
- 8. A Constructive Trust is imposed on all assets taken by the Respondent and the unnamed Respondents for any property and sums the Court determines are rightfully due the Conservatee for their wrongful conduct; and
- 9. Attorney's fees and costs of suit are awarded as provided for in the law.

Brent William Fleming (CONS/PE) Case No. 11CEP
Boyett, Deborah K. (for Conservators Diane M. Small and Forrest Brian Fleming)
Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

—		DIAMEN CHAIL 1ECREES	NEEDS (DDODLENAS (CONMACNIES
_	e: 59 years	DIANE M. SMALL and FORREST	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DC	B: 2/3/1953	BRIAN FLEMING were appointed	
1		Co-Conservators of the person and	
		estate on 10/17/11.	1. Need inventory and appraisal or
			current status report.
Со	nt. from	Minute order from 10/17/11 set this	_
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	status hearing for the filing of the	
	Verified	inventory and appraisal.	
	Inventory	J was J was AII	
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of		
	Hrg		
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video		
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 2/8/12
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 10 - Fleming

11

Ferraro, Serena (Pro Per – Mother – Petitioner) Atty Atty

Mekhitarian, Karney Mark (for Julie Ann Weber – Maternal Great-Aunt – Guardian)

Petition for Visitation

Age	e: 2		SERENA FERRARO, Mother, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	B: 1-29-09		Series in Estate in C , modifier, is a calcione.	Note: This is the 3rd hearing on this Petition for
			JULIE ANN WEBER, Maternal Great-Aunt,	Visitation without notice to the Guardian.
			was appointed Guardian on 6-30-11.	Minute Order 12-1-11: Petitioner requests a
Con	t. from 120111,			continuance. Examiner notes provided to Petitioner.
011			Father: Kyle Huffstutler	Petitioner is directed to cure the defects.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Paternal Grandfather: Brian Huffstutler	Minute Order 1-12-12: Petitioner requests a
>	Verified		Paternal Grandmother: Kathy Huffstutler	continuance to allow her to give notice to the guardian. Matter continued to 2-16-12.
	Inventory		Maternal Grandfather: Joseph Ferraro	
	PTC		Maternal Grandmother: Sherri Thompson	As of 2-812, nothing further has been filed by Petitioner. The following issues remain:
	Not.Cred.		Petitioner requests visitation twice a	
	Notice of Hrg	Χ	week for four hours on Wednesdays and	1. Need Notice of Hearing.
		Χ	Sundays and the ability to speak to the	2. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 15 days prior to the hearing on:
	Aff.Pub.		child by phone twice per day for no less	- Julie Ann Weber (Guardian)
	Sp.Ntc.		than 15 minutes – before the child starts	- Kyle Huffstutler (Father)
	Pers.Serv.		her day and at bedtime at the end of each	- Brian Huffstutler (Paternal Grandfather) - Kathy Huffstutler (Paternal Grandmother)
	Conf. Screen		day.	- Joseph Ferraro (Maternal Grandmother)
	Letters			- Sherri Thompson (Maternal Grandmother)
	Duties/Supp		Petitioner states Guardian does not	Note: Petitioner submitted a proposed Order;
	Objections		answer calls and texts and is ultimately	however, if granted, the Court may use minute order
	Video Receipt		denying her contact with the child because she asked not to pry into her	only for visitation.
	CI Report		personal life on matters that in no way,	Note: Father has supervised visitation four hrs/
	9202		shape or form pertain to the child or have	week at an agency. The order does not specify the dates/times. The Court may require clarification of
>	Order		any negative effect on the child's safety or	this order so that visitation orders do not conflict.
			well-being.	Note: There is a Domestic Violence Restraining
				Order protecting Mother from Father in
			Petitioner requests to spend much-	09CEFL07447 that expires 5-25-14. Family Court
			needed time and continue to be a part of	yielded custody and visitation matters between the parents to this Probate Guardianship case until
			her child's life in a stable and consistent	further order of the Court.
	Aff. Posting		scheduled manner, and thanks the Court	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		for its time.	Reviewed on: 2-8-12
<u> </u>	UCCJEA			Updates:
 	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 11 - Huffstutler

12 Destiny Cedano, Alexis Cedano, Victor Cedano, Gabriel Cedano, Noah Cedano, Jayden Cedano, Victoria Cedano, Reyna Cedano and Jonah Cedano (GUARD/P) Case No. 11CEPR00795

Atty Vasquez, Vickie (pro per Petitioner/paternal aunt)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 13 years		THERE IS NO TEMPORARY.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Age: 13 years DOB: 2/9/1999 Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202	W/	THERE IS NO TEMPORARY. No temporary was requested. MICHELLE HERNANDEZ LOPEZ, paternal cousin, is petitioner. Father: VICTOR CEDANO - deceased Mother: JENNIFER CEDANO- personally served on 12/28/12. Paternal grandfather: Jesus Cedano Paternal grandmother: Jenny Hernandez — deceased. Maternal grandfather: Pete Gutierrez — deceased. Maternal grandmother: Judy Coronado — served on 12/11/12. Petitioner states her niece is in need of a guardianship because her mother is in jail and her father is deceased. Social Services is seeking placement of all the children. Petitioner states she already has guardianship of this minor's brother.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: This petition is as to ALEXIS CEDANO only. Petition for Appointment of Guardian for Victoria Cedano and Reyna Cedano (twins age 3) filed by Judy Barbosa and Maximino Barbosa, maternal aunt and uncle was continued to 2/23/12. Guardianship of the other minors has been previously granted to other relatives. 1. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice on: a. Alexis Cedano (minor, age 13)
Order		Court Investigator Dina Calvillo's	Paviawad by KT
Aff. Posting Status Rpt		Report filed 2/3/12.	Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 2/8/12
LICCIEA		Report meu 2/3/12.	Updates:
V			•
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notice			File 12 - Cedano

13 Trinity Blessing Daisey Hill (GUARD/P)

Case No. 11CEPR01063

Atty Hill, Brenda Lee (pro per Petitioner/paternal grandmother)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

	0		The The Control of Guardian of	,	
Age: 8 months			<u>Temporary Expires 2/16/12</u>	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DOB: 5/12/2011			BRENDA LEE HILL, paternal grandmother, is Petitioner.		
✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order	X	Father: JASPER HILL – personally served 12/06/11 Mother: PAULINE TARTSAH Paternal grandfather: Ottis Legett – deceased Maternal grandfather: Dwayne Tartsah Maternal grandmother: Veronica Goodwill Siblings: Kayonna Hill, Jazalina Hill, Mikie (all in foster care) Petitioner states mother has had a problem with alcohol for years. She has had four of her children removed from her care. Father has anger issues and gets out of control while intoxicated. Father is not working and	 Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: Pauline Tartsah (mother) Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: Dwayne Tartsah (maternal grandfather) Veronica Goodwill (maternal grandmother) Confidential Guardian Screening form is incomplete at #9 and #10. Petitioner answered the questions in the affirmative without explanation. 	
	Aff. Posting		is unable to provide for the minor.	Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 2/8/12	
✓			Court Investigator Samantha Henson's Report filed 2/9/12.	Updates: 2/10/12	
	Citation		itemori o report men mi/im.	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 13 - Hill	

Orona, Jennie (pro per Petitioner)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 1/11/10	JENNIE ORONA, spouse, is petitioner	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
200: 1/11/10	and requests appointment as Executor	
	without bond.	
	without bond.	1. Will is not self-proving. Need
Cont. from		Proof of Subscribing Witness.
	Full IAEA – o.k.	2. Affidavit of Publication does not
Aff.Sub.Wit. X		include the name of the petitioner
✓ Verified	Will dated: 9/10/1997	in the portion of the notice that
Inventory	- · · · -	tells interested parties (including
PTC	Residence: Fresno	creditors) who to direct any correspondences regarding the
Not.Cred.	Publication: Fresno Bee	estate to.
Notice of		
Hrg		3. Rosa Falcon, named alternate
✓ Aff.Mail W/	Estimated Value of the Estate: Personal property - \$15,000.00	executor was not included on #8 of the petition.
✓ Aff.Pub.	Real property - \$13,000.00	
Sp.Ntc.	Total - \$68,000.00	4. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice</i>
Pers.Serv.		of Petition to Administer the Estate on Rosa Falcon, named alternate
Conf. Screen		executor. Probate Code §8110.
✓ Letters	Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	
<u> </u>		5. Need Order
✓ Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order X		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 2/8/12
UCCJEA		Updates: 2/9/12
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 14 - Orona

Heredia, Rachel (Pro Per – Maternal Great-Grandmother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age	e: 6 months	NO TEMPORARY REQEUSTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub.	RACHEL HEREDIA, Maternal Great-Grandmother, is Petitioner. Father: UNKNOWN Mother: CORINA ORDONEZ - Chowchilla prison Paternal Grandfather: Unknown Paternal Grandmother: Unknown Maternal Grandfather: Felix Ordonez, Sr Declaration of Due Diligence filed 12-15-11 Maternal Grandmother: Priscilla Ordonez - Chowchilla prison	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need Notice of Hearing. 2. Need proof of personal service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 or consent and waiver of notice on: - Corina Ordonez (Mother) - Father (if notice not excused – Declaration of Due Diligence filed 12-15-11 states Mother does not know who the father is.) 3. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the
> > >	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Clearances Order	Petitioner states both the mother and maternal grandmother are in prison and the father is unknown. Petitioner is the maternal great-grandmother and wants to continue to give Christopher a loving, caring home. Christopher has lived with her since he was two days old. Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report on 2-8-12.	Petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence on: - Priscilla Ordonez (Maternal Grandmother) - Felix Ordonez, Sr. (Maternal Grandfather) (if notice not excused – Declaration of Due Diligence filed 12-15-11 states Petitioner has not seen him for two years, but does not indicate any effort to locate and serve.)
<u> </u>	Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice		- Paternal Grandparents (if notice not excused) Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 2-8-12 Updates: 2-9-12 Recommendation: File 15 – Robles-Ordonez

16

Atty Atty Lau, Nancy Quemada (Pro Per – Daughter – Petitioner)
Lind, Ruth P. (Court-appointed for Proposed Conservatee)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

Age: 86		NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOI	B: 9-17-25	NANCY QUEMADA LAU, Daughter, is Petitioner and	Court Investigator advised rights
		requests appointment as Conservator of the Person with	Court Investigator advised rights on 1-24-12.
		medical consent powers and dementia medication and	
		placement powers, and as Conservator of the Estate	Voting rights affected
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	without bond.	- <u>Need Minute Order</u>
>	Verified	Voting rights affected	Petitioner's Request to Waive Court Fees was granted based
	Inventory	Estimated Value of Estate:	on income guidelines;
	PTC	Personal property: \$7,650.00	however, information
	Not.Cred.	Annual income: \$21,984.00	received by the Court
~	Notice of	A Capacity Declaration was filed 1-5-12. The Declaration	Investigator indicates that other household income may
	Hrg	of Richard Graham, MD, dated 7-7-11 supports the	have been omitted from the
>	Aff.Mail	request for medical consent powers, but does not	Request. The Court has set a
	Aff.Pub.	contain the Dementia Attachment (GC-335A) and does	hearing for reconsideration pursuant to Government
	Sp.Ntc.	not address dementia medication or placement	Code §68636 for 2-21-12.
>	Pers.Serv.	treatment. The declaration does state that he considers	If revoked, filing fees are due.
>	Conf. Screen	the Proposed Conservatee to have been competent in	2 Potitionar reguests
>	Letters	her September 2009 document declarations. (A Power of	2. Petitioner requests appointment without bond;
~	Duties/Supp	Attorney was signed 9-2-09.)	however, pursuant to Cal.
	Objections	Petitioner states her mother is diagnosed with	Rule of Court 7.207, except as
~	Video	Alzheimer's and dementia and suffers from heart	otherwise provided by statute, every conservator of
	Receipt	problems and anxiety. She was living in her home in Los	the estate must furnish bond
>	CI Report	Angeles County with one of her 15 children, Mr. Jess	including a reasonable
	9202	Quemada ; however, in November 2008, Petitioner	amount for the cost of recovery to collect the bond
~	Order	brought her to live with her in Clovis because Mr.	under Probate Code
		Quemada was not properly caring for her. In addition,	2320(c)(4). Bond should be
		Petitioner states he was keeping her money and had	\$32,597.40.
		influenced her to obtain a \$40,000.00 loan on one of her	SEE PAGE 2
		homes. An incident (described in the Petition) where an ambulance was called and the driver threatened to	<u> </u>
	Aff. Posting	contact Adult Protective Services led to the decision to	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	bring her here in 2008. The proposed conservatee has	Reviewed on: 2-8-12
	UCCJEA	lived with Petitioner in Clovis since 2008 and it is in her	Updates: 2-9-12; 2/15/12 (KT)
>	Citation	best interest to remain so.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Jess Quemada recently filed for conservatorship in Los	File 16 - Quemada
		Angeles and failed to disclose in his petition that the	
		proposed conservatee has not lived in that county since	
		2008.	
		SEE PAGE 2	
<u> </u>		<u>SELI MUL Z</u>	16

16 Sally Villagran Quemada (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00021

Atty Lau, Nancy Quemada (Pro Per – Daughter – Petitioner)
Atty Lind, Ruth P. (Court-appointed for Proposed Conservatee)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

SUMMARY (Continued):

Petitioner states her mother is not able to manage her finances and Petitioner has been handling her money since she came to live with her. She receives Social Security and rental income from one of two homes that she owns. One home is occupied by Jess Quemada, who does not pay rent and claims the house is his.

The proposed conservatee had a personal attorney in Pasadena, Patrick Hanrahan, who prepared her estate-planning paperwork. Petitioner and another sibling, **Yolanda Quemada**, currently have power of attorney (attached POA is dated 9-2-09).

Petitioner states her mother told her that if she could not care for herself, she wanted Petitioner to care for her. Petitioner states that other than the two homes, the estate is minimal.

Objection to Conservatorship filed by Jess P. Quemada, son, on 2/14/12. Mr. Quemada states the main reason for his objection is to return his mother to her home in Los Angeles. Mr. Quemada alleges Nancy Law has made false statements against Mr. Quemada for her own financial gain. Nancy Law along with their other sister Yolanda Quemada forged and made statements to remove him from the family living trust. Mr. Quemada states he has cared for his mother for 10 years and has never had any problems. He alleges he mother wants to come home.

Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 2-8-12.

- 3. Petitioner requests the Court waive the Inventory and Appraisal and also waive accountings as long as the estate meets the requirements of Probate Code §2628; however:
 - Inventory and Appraisal is required by Probate Code §2610
 - A first accounting (at least) is required by Probate Code §2620(a)
 - Probate Code §2628(c) requires accounting to show that income was spent for the benefit of the Conservatee.
 - Examiner notes that the proposed conservatee owns two houses (not just her own residence) and receives rental and pension income. Based on this information, it does not appear that the estate would qualify for waiver under Probate Code §2628, as the value of the estate may exceed the statutory limit for waiver.

Kruthers, Heather H (for Petitioner/Administrator Public Administrator)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary Commissions and Fees and for (3) Distribution [Prob. C. 9202, 10800, 10810, 10951, 11600, 11850(a)]

DO	D: 3/30/10		PUBLIC ADMINIS	STRA	ATOR,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Administrator, is per		· ·	
600			Account period: 8/1	8/10 -	- 11/9/11	Continued from 1/19/2012. As of 2/7/12 the following issues remain:
Col	nt. from 011912	1			4400 200 00	
-	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Accounting -		\$188,300.00	
✓	Verified		Beginning POH -		\$188,300.00	1. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice</i> of Hearing along with a copy of the
✓	Inventory		Ending POH -	•	\$144,011.78	petition on Susan Moore pursuant
✓	PTC		Administrator -		\$6,319.00	to <i>Request for Special Notice</i> filed on 11/29/10.
✓	Not.Cred.		(statutory)			2. Susan Moore represented Robert
✓	Notice of Hrg		Administrator X/O -		\$3,248.00	Hartley, spouse, in his Petition for Probate. Mr. Hartley was
1	Aff.Mail	W/	(per Local Rule for	sale o	f real	appointed as Administrator on
	Aff.Pub.		property, sale of per		property and	7/14/10 but was unable to bond and letters never issued. The Public
1	Sp.Ntc.	W/	preparation of taxes)		Administrator was then appointed
Ě	Pers.Serv.		A 44		¢	as successor Administrator on
	Conf. Screen		Attorney -	•	\$6,319.00	8/25/10. It appears that pursuant to Probate Code §10814 Ms. Moore
 	Letters 8/25	5/10	(statutory)			would be entitled to a portion of the
Ě	Duties/Supp	•	Bond fee -		\$588.44	statutory attorney fees.
	Objections Objections		(o.k.)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Video		,			
	Receipt		Court fees -	•	\$204.00	
	CI Report		(certified copies)			
√	9202					
✓	Order		Distribution, pursu	iant t	o intestate	
	Aff. Posting		succession, is to:			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		Dalam II. (41)		¢40 444 44	Reviewed on: 2/7/12
	UCCJEA		Robert Hartley -		\$42,444.44	Updates:
	Citation		David Guy -		\$28,296.30 \$28,296.30	Recommendation:
✓	FTB Notice		Robert Guy - Melody McCombs -		\$28,296.30 \$28,296.30	File 17 - Hartley
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Atty Atty

18

Kruthers, Heather H (for Petitioner Public Administrator)
Bagdasarian, Gary (for beneficiary California Armenian Home)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters of Administration with Will Annexed; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DO	DOD: 10/18/11		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			petitioner.	Petition requests that the Decedent's audio Will dated
			Full IAEA – o.k.	9/2/1993 be admitted to probate.
Coı	nt. from 011912	2		Probate Code §6110 states in
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Will dated: 8/11/1972	relevant part "a will shall be in writing." Need authority that
✓	Verified		Audio Will dated: 9/2/1993	allows the court to admit an
	Inventory			audio will to probate. –
	PTC		Residence: Fresno	Memorandum of Points and
	Not.Cred.		Publication: Fresno Business Journal	Authorities filed on 2/1/2012 by the California Armenian Home.
	Notice of			2 23.40
	Hrg			2. The actual audio tape has not
✓	Aff.Mail	W/		been presented to the Court. Attached to the Petition is the
✓	Aff.Pub.		Estimated value of the Estate: Personal property - \$464,027.00	transcript of the audio tape. Court may require the actual
	Sp.Ntc.		<u>Real property</u> - \$130,000.00	audio tape to be filed.
	Pers.Serv.		Total - \$594,027.00	_
	Conf. Screen			3. Need proof of holographic instrument for the handwritten
✓	Letters			notations on the will if the court
	Duties/Supp		Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	is going to consider said handwritten notations as a codicil
	Objections			to the decedent's witnessed will.
	Video			
	Receipt			4. #6 of the Petition is not
	CI Report			completed re: who decedent was survived by.
	9202			survived by.
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 2/7/12
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 18 - Boghosian
				10

18 (additional page 1 of 2) Jack H. Boghosian (Estate) Case No. 11CEPR01034

Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Probate filed by the California Armenian Home on 2/1/12.

A holographic codicil may be placed on the face of a witnessed will, and is valid if it meets the requisites of a holographic instrument (written in the testator's hand, dated and signed). [Estate of Nielson (1980) 105 Cal.App.3d 796, 802-805] Witkins defines "codicil" as "a later testamentary instrument or entry on an original testamentary instrument that supplements or otherwise affects its validity or terms." [14 Witkin, Summary of California Law (10th ed.(2005), Wills, §159]

The handwritten notation of Mr. Boghosian on the face of his witnessed will appears to constitute a holographic codicil, since it appears to be in his handwriting, is dated and signed, and supplements or otherwise affects the validity or terms of his earlier witnessed will.

A holographic codicil may incorporate by reference another writing (formal or informal, attested or unattested) as long as the reference is unmistakable or can be deemed unmistakable by reference to extrinsic evidence. [*In re Foxworth's Estate* (1966) 240 Cal.App.2d 784, 788] The required elements to establish incorporation by reference are: (1) the incorporated writing must be in existence at the time the codicil makes reference to it; (2) the codicil must identify the incorporated writing by a sufficiently certain description, and extrinsic evidence is admissible to aid the identification; and, (3) it must appear that the testator intended to incorporate the writing for the purpose of carrying out his testamentary desires. [id. at pages 788-789]

The handwritten notation of Mr. Boghosian on his witnessed will appears to constitute a holographic codicil that incorporates by reference two separate writings for the purpose of carrying out his testamentary desires.

First, the holographic codicil incorporates the tape recording that Mr. Boghosian specifically identifies and states that he "made" on September 2, 1993 "to supersede" his witnessed will. This establishes the elements of existence, identification and intent. The element of identification is also established by the extrinsic evidence consisting of Mr. Boghosian's handwritten notations on the tape itself, and on the envelope in which his witnessed will and tape were found.

Second, the holographic codicil incorporates Mr. Boghosian's witnessed will by referring to "this will" as the testamentary instrument he sought to "supersede" with the tape recorded instructions.

Finally, the tape recording should be considered a proper matter to be incorporated by reference notwithstanding that case law on the issue generally refers to "documents" being incorporated by reference. Probate Code §6130 governs incorporation by reference, and refers to a "writing" as the type of matter which may be incorporated by reference (not a "document" or "paper"). The Probate Code does not define the word "writing" and does not exclude probate proceedings from the rules of evidence, so the provisions of the Evidence Code may be applied to determine the meaning of the word "writing" used in Probate Code §6130. [Evidence Code §300; and see, *Estate of Nicholas* (1986) 177 Cal.App.3d 1071, 1088]

Evidence Code §250 provides the following definition for the word "writing:"

"'Writing' means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, photocopying, transmitting, by electronic mail or facsimile, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing, any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereby created, regardless of the manner in which the record has stored."

been

18 (additional page 2 of 2) Jack H. Boghosian (Estate) Case No. 11CEPR01034

Tape recordings are considered "writings" under the Evidence Code. [*Darley v. Ward* (1980) 28 Cal.3d 257,261] Since the tape recording made by Mr. Boghosian is a "writing" it is subject to being incorporated by reference in his holographic codicil.

Therefore, request is made that the Will of Jack H. Boghosian dated August 11, 1972 be admitted with the taped testamentary document described in Attachment 3e(2) to the Petition of the Fresno County Public Administrator as the codicil of the Decedent.

19 Susanna Khalatyan (CONS/PE) Case No. 12CEPR00120

Atty Khalatyan, Edgar (pro per Petitioner/son)
Petition for Appointment of Temporary Conservatorship of the Person and Estate

Age: 59 years	Temporary of the PERSON ONLY granted ex parte on 2/6/12	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of X Hrg Aff.Mail X Aff.Pub.	ex parte on 2/6/12. TEMPORARY EXPIRES 2/16/12 GENERAL HEARING 3/19/12 EDGAR KHALATYAN, son, is petitioner and requests appointment as temporary conservator of the person and estate. Estimated Value of the Estate: Personal property - \$130,000.00 Annual income - \$4,000.00 Total - \$134,000.00	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Court Investigator advised rights on 2/6/12 1. Petition does not address bond. Pursuant to Probate Code \$2320, absent a showing of good cause, requires every conservator be bonded. Based on the information provided bond should be set at \$148,280.00. 2. Need Notice of Hearing. 3. Need proof of personal service, 5 court days prior to the hearing, of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Temporary Petition on: a. Susanna Khalatyan (proposed conservatee) 4. Need proof of service, 5 court days prior to the hearing, of the Notice of Hearing
Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. X ✓ Conf. Screen	Petitioner states the proposed conservatee suffered a large subarachnoid brain hemorrhage and	along with a copy of the Temporary Petition on: a. Karine Khalatyan (sister) b. Nunufar Khalatyan (sister)
✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp	is currently in the ICU at CRMC Fresno.	c. Miriam Khalatyan (sister) Note: Capacity Declaration of Dr. Eyad
Objections Video Receipt ✓ Cl Report	Court Investigator Julie Negrete's Report filed 2/7/12.	Almasri filed on 2/3/12 is incomplete at #1 & 2, #4a and the physician did not initial at #7b. (The Capacity Declaration does not affect the temporary petition but will need to be addressed prior to the permanent hearing.)
9202 √ Order		
Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA		Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 2/8/12 Updates:
Citation FTB Notice		Recommendation: File 19 - Khalatyan

Owdom, Bruce A. (of Dietrich, Glasrud, Mallek & Aune, for Petitioners)
Petition for Order Approving Modification of Trust

			GEORGIANNE H. ERROTABERE, Trustee and Beneficiary,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			and Beneficiaries DANIEL DOMINGO ERROTABERE,	
			JEAN EMILE ERROTABERE, and REMI PHILLIP	Note: This matter was originally
			ERROTABERE, are Petitioners.	continued to 3-14-12; however,
Continued from 1-18-12				pursuant to Order Shortening Time for
Con		-12	Petitioners state the Jean Errotabere Testamentary Trust	Reconsideration of Petition for Order
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		was created by court order 4-29-1980 and amended by court order dated 6-6-1989.	Approving Modification of Trust, the continued hearing was reset to 2-16-12.
~	Verified		Court order dated 6-6-1989.	continued hearing was reset to 2-16-12.
	Inventory		Petitioner Georgianne H. Errotabere is the original and	SEE PAGE 2
	PTC		present trustee, and wishes to resign. The two named	
	Not.Cred.		successor trustees, Donald Yraceburu and Wells Fargo	
>	Notice of Hrg		Bank (successor to Crocker Bank), both indicate that they	
>	Aff.Mail	W/O	would decline to serve if appointed, and have both signed declinations (Exhibits B and C).	
	Aff.Pub.		decimations (Exhibits B and C).	
	Sp.Ntc.		The trust does not provide mechanism for further	
	Pers.Serv.		appointment. Petitioners seek to modify the trust so that	
	Conf. Screen		upon the resignation of Georgianne H. Errotabere, the	
	Letters		three sons of the trustor and Georgianne H. Errotabere, Petitioners and Beneficiaries Daniel Errotabere,	
	Duties/Supp		Jean Errotabere and Remi Errotabere are appointed	
	Objections		successor co-trustees, with the survivors or survivor	
	Video Receipt		thereof serving as co-trustees or sole trustee. Upon the	
	CI Report		death or resignation of the proposed sole successor	
	9202		trustee, a successor trustee may be chose by the unanimous written consent of the adult beneficiaries and	
>	Order		the parents or guardians of minor beneficiaries without	
	Aff. Posting		court approval.	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 2-8-12
	UCCJEA		No bond would be required of any trustee or successor	Updates:
	Citation		trustee named in or appointed pursuant to the terms of the trust. No other trust provisions would be modified.	Recommendation: File 20 - Errotabere
	FTB Notice		the trust. No other trust provisions would be mounted.	
			All present beneficiaries and all adult contingent	
			beneficiaries consent to the modification pursuant to	
			Probate Code §15403 and waive notice of hearing.	
			Petitioners also refer to Probate Code §15409 with	
			respect to modification based on changed circumstances,	
			and state that the trustor could not have known over 30	
			years ago that the two successor trustees would decline	
			to serve.	
			Petitioners state that because the present trustee	
			wishes to resign, modification of the trust is necessary	
			to provide mechanism for appointment of successor trustees that is valid and not subject to question,	
			challenge or delay.	

20 Jean Errotabere Trust 4/29/1980 (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR01020

Atty Owdom, Bruce A. (of Dietrich, Glasrud, Mallek & Aune, for Petitioners)

Petition for Order Approving Modification of Trust

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Note: The following items were previously noted by the Examiner as potential issues. Attorney Owdom's Declaration filed 2-3-12 (noted below) addresses these items:

1. Notice was not served on the minor grandchildren of the trustor (contingent beneficiaries) pursuant to Probate Code §17203 and Cal. Rule of Court 7.51(d).

Petitioners state that the interests of the minor contingent beneficiaries are identical to those of the present beneficiares; therefore, they are adequately represented; however, notice (30 days) is still required per the code.

<u>Note</u>: Examiner notes that the adult grandchildren signed consent to this modification and waivers of notice of this hearing; however, their notice was sent "C/O" their respective parents. Because they each waived notice, this is not an issue; however, for future reference, direct notice is required (not "C/O") per Cal. Rule of Court 7.51(a).

2. Probate Code §15602 requires an individual who is not named as a trustee in the trust to give a bond to secure performance, unless excused for compelling circumstances.

Petitioners request to modify the trust under §15403 to name the proposed successor trustees without bond rather than appoint pursuant to §15660.

Petitioners also request that the modified language not require bond for any successor appointed pursuant to the modified terms.

The Court may require bond or clarification regarding compelling circumstances, and/or authority.

If bond is required, need estimated value of trust assets.

Attorney Owdom's Declaration filed 2-3-12 states that at the hearing on the petition, Judge Chittick did not require notice to the minor contingent beneficiaries because, as stated in the Petition, they are represented by the adult contingent beneficiaries. However, Judge Chittick expressed the view that Probate Code section 15602 requires bond for proposed successor co-trustees not named in the trust. Attorney Owdom argued that consent of all adult beneficiaries, vested and contingent, should be compelling circumstances which would avoid the requirement of bond. However, Judge Chittick continued the hearing for submission of an estimated value of trust assets for calculation of the bond or reevaluation by the regularly assigned probate judge.

Attorney Owdom states that at the time of the hearing the Examiner Notes had not been available and he had not had opportunity to review Probate Code §15602(b) which, in fact, deems request by all adult beneficiaries to constitute a "compelling circumstance" to waive bond.

Attorney Owdom further states that the appointment procedure under Probate Code §15660 is not applicable because there is no vacancy, and Petitioner seeks to avoid vacancy by modification of the trust.

Mrs. Errotabere is 83 years old and wishes to resign and further delay increases the risk of vacancy in the office of trustee.

Melton, Michelle (pro per Petitioner Guardian/Paternal grandmother

Ex Parte to Stop Petition for Visitation

Age: 8 years DOB: 8/22/2003			MICHELLE MELTON, guardian, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
-, -, -,			MICHELLE MELTON, paternal grandmother, was appointed guardian on 8/14/2006.	 Need Notice of Hearing. Need proof of service of the 	
Cor	nt. from		Mother: CHRISTIAN ACOSTA	Notice of Hearing on: a. Christian Acosta	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Current visitation order dated 2/15/08 allows mother	(mother)	
1	Verified		have to visits from Friday at 6 p.m. to Sunday at 6 p.m.		
	Inventory		Potitionar filed on Ex Porto Potition to Ston		
	PTC		Petitioner filed an Ex Parte Petition to Stop Visitation on 2/8/12.		
	Not.Cred.		VISITUTION ON 2/0/12.		
	Notice of	Х	Order On Ex Parte Petition signed on 2/9/12		
	Hrg		suspended the current visitation pending this hearing		
	Aff.Mail	Χ	and ordered the guardian to provide notice of the		
	Aff.Pub.		hearing to all interested parties no later than 3 days		
	Sp.Ntc.		prior to the hearing.		
	Pers.Serv.		Petitioner alleges that for the past month the minor		
	Conf. Screen		does not want to go visit with her mother. She cries		
	Letters		before leaving the house or she asks petitioner to stay		
	Duties/Supp		with her. Petitioner states when she questioned the		
	Objections		minor, the minor stated she feels as if someone is		
	Video		staring at her all the time and that her mother makes		
	Receipt		her stay outside the apartment until the lights come on		
	CI Report		(dusk).		
	9202		Petitioner also states there was an incident where she		
1	Order		went to pick up the minor and the mother stated the		
	Aff. Posting		minor was at the mall with a friend of mother's and her	Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt		daughter. Petitioner states she went to the mall and	Reviewed on: 2/15/12	
	UCCJEA		picked up the minor from the friend. Later she heard	Updates:	
	Citation		the minor talking to her mother on the phone where she	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		heard the minor say, "I forgot" and "I'll hide them." So later Petitioner states she looked in the minor's	File 21 - Ferry	
			room and found a pair of walking pants in size 16 (the		
			minor wears a size 7). She asked the minor about them		
			and minor said Mom's friend put them on her at the		
			mall and also other clothing on her daughter and that		
			she has put some in a red bag. She stated that mom's		
			friend told her not to tell anyone. Not even her nana.		
			The minor does not want to go to her mother's home.		
			She is scared about something.		